Characterizing the genetic architecture of impulsivity and its overlap with substance use

Submitter Name: Travis T. Mallard

Travis T. Mallard^{1,2,3}, Justin D. Tubbs^{1,2,3}, Mariela Jennings⁴, Yingzhe Zhang⁵, Daniel E. Gustavson⁶, Andrew D. Grotzinger^{6,7}, Margaret L. Westwater⁸, Camille M. Williams⁹, Rebecca G. Fortgang^{2,10}, 23andMe Research Team, Sarah L. Elson¹¹, Pierre Fontanillas¹¹, Lea K. Davis^{12,13,14}, Armin Raznahan¹⁵, Elliot M. Tucker-Drob^{9,16}, Karmel W. Choi^{1,2,3}, Tian Ge^{1,2,3}, Jordan W. Smoller^{1,2,3,10}, Abraham A. Palmer^{4,17}, & Sandra Sanchez-Roige^{4,12,17}

and psychopathology

¹Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital; ²Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School; ³Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard; ⁴Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego; ⁵Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health; ⁶Institute for Behavioral Genetics, University of Colorado Boulder; プDepartment of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of Colorado Boulder; ®Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford; ®Population Research Center, University of Texas at Austin; ¹¹Center for Precision Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital; ¹¹23andMe Inc.; ¹²Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; ¹³Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; ¹⁴Department of Biomedical Informatics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; ¹⁵Section on Developmental Neurogenomics, National Institute of Mental Health; ¹⁶Department of Psychology, University of Texas at Austin; ¹⁷Institute for Genomic Medicine, University of California San Diego

Impulsivity is a complex psychological construct with a fundamental role in substance use and psychopathology, but measurement issues hinder our understanding of its etiology. Neuroscientific insights support 'splitting' impulsivity into distinct facets, but factor analytic research has shown that similar traits can be 'lumped' together at multiple levels. Here, we use multivariate methods to advance our understanding of impulsivity by testing the splitting versus lumping hypotheses across biological scales. Using the Genomic SEM framework, we analyzed eight impulsivity facets (Ns~130k) with two models: an unstructured 'omnibus' model (splitting) and a structured 'common factor' model (lumping). We evaluated these models and their outputs across genomic, transcriptomic, and neuroanatomical levels. We then conducted polygenic score (PGS) analyses in two independent cohorts to characterize links with health and well-being. Results revealed pleiotropy among impulsivity facets (mean r_0 =0.43, range=-0.09-0.79) that could be approximated with a common factor model (CFI=0.94, SRMR=0.08). However, subsequent analyses revealed that SNP- and gene-level effects were largely inconsistent with this model of general liability. Many genetic relationships with psychopathology were also facet-specific, such as those with externalizing behavior and substance use disorders (Q_{Trait} Ps<8.48e-6). PGS results underscored the consequences of erroneous lumping, as 67 associations with medical outcomes were missed with a common factor PGS. Collectively, the results of this study generate new insights into the etiology of impulsivity, implicating novel links to neurodevelopment. Our results support multidimensional approaches as a more informative avenue for studying the heterogeneous biology of impulsive traits and their relationship to addiction and mental health.